THE DIGITAL CAPACITY GAP, MISINFORMATION, AND BEHAVIORAL DYNAMICS IN ONLINE MEDICAL INFORMATION SEARCHES

Fayola Issalillah, Rafadi Khan Khayru

Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang

Correspondence: fayolaissalillah@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Digital transformation has fundamentally reshaped the ways in which society acquires and interprets health information. This thematically synthesized study examines the disparities between ease of access and health digital literacy, the predominance of misinformation, algorithmic bias, and the limitations of formal education in shaping public behavior regarding online medical information seeking and interpretation. The literature review identifies that the widespread accessibility of digital health information is not yet equally supported by the public's ability to critically filter and comprehend such data, resulting in significant gaps in the quality of medical decision-making. The rapid spread of misinformation in digital spaces, further exacerbated by algorithms that reinforce personal biases, often leads to impulsive, evidence-deficient decisions during information retrieval processes. Furthermore, formal education curricula have proven insufficient in fully equipping citizens with critical evaluation skills for digital sources, thus rendering them vulnerable to hoaxes and unverified viral narratives. Findings highlight that strengthening health digital literacy requires collective, cross-sector efforts, including integration into curricula, community-based public campaigns, and the reinforcement of credible official digital channels. The implications for policy and digital health practice include the necessity for robust information validation systems, content monitoring, and adaptive education to prepare society for the challenges of the information era. This review recommends the enhancement of digital health literacy programs through inclusive and collaborative approaches, with the ultimate goal of fostering a society that is intelligent, reflective, and responsible in managing digital medical information.

Keywords: health digital literacy, online medical information, medical decision-making, misinformation, algorithmic bias, formal education, health information seeking behavior.

INTRODUCTION

The digital era has brought about substantial changes in the ways society acquires and interprets health information. This transition is characterized by the rapid exchange of knowledge and the expansion of access to medical sources, empowering individuals to independently seek references concerning their personal and familial health. Digital transformation positions technology as the primary conduit between the public and the latest medical data. Increasing public engagement in online information seeking has led to the widespread integration of digital devices, health applications, and virtual consultation services into daily routines. On one hand, these technological advancements facilitate effortless connectivity with global repositories of medical knowledge (Issalillah & Khayru, 2023).

Formerly, individuals relied predominantly on direct interactions with healthcare professionals; however, societal habits are now shifting towards digital-based information-seeking and decision-making behaviors. A variety of sources—including search engines, health-related applications, and social media platforms—have become the initial points of reference when facing medical symptoms or inquiries. This wave of digitalization underscores the urgent need for health digital literacy, ensuring the public can discern credible messages. Instant information retrieval is often perceived as the primary solution, yet it frequently occurs without critical filtering of the data's validity. Such conditions necessitate readiness at both individual and collective levels to navigate information accurately and responsibly.

The tension between the overwhelming volume of available information and society's comprehension capacity intensifies the risk of suboptimal decision-making. Easily accessible information does not always equate to high quality, and popular messages are often biased or engineered to attract attention rather than to genuinely empower users. This reality is distinctly observable in public health issues, where hoaxes and misinformation propagate more rapidly than evidence-based education. The phenomena extend to the emergence of cyberchondria, whereby individuals' anxieties are magnified by encounters with unverified digital findings. This challenge calls for enhanced literacy skills so that society does not fall into choices that may jeopardize physical or psychological well-being (Yeo et al., 2024; Zywiotek, 2024).

Changes in public behavior with regard to seeking medical information through digital media are shaped by factors such as accessibility, interest in online media, and a growing need for self-reliance in health maintenance. The availability of diverse learning resources has enabled the public to become increasingly reflective and critical. Nevertheless, the prevalence of illegal and biased information can impede the development of sound digital health literacy (Hariani et al., 2021). Social and cultural structures also play a significant role in how individuals interpret digital information within their everyday medical decision-making (Ji et al., 2024). The concurrent advancement of digital competencies and health literacy serves as a fundamental prerequisite to ensuring that the public can act with sufficient knowledge and remain resilient to false news or hidden agendas.

The imbalance between the ease of obtaining health information and the critical competency required to assess data validity emerges as a key concern amid the stream of digital information. Ji et al. (2024) emphasize that limited digital and health literacy exacerbate the risk of misunderstanding and poor medical decision-making, rendering individuals more likely to accept widely circulating messages without adequate scientific validation.

The rapid proliferation of misinformation and hoaxes has further complicated the challenge of cultivating a health-literate society. Biased, sensational, or misleading information is often more accessible and more likely to go viral than scientifically vetted sources. Zywiotek (2024) argues that online platforms are increasingly saturated with unverified content, fostering public dependence on popular narratives in the absence of critical selection. When public literacy levels remain low, confusion between fact and opinion presents substantial risks for erroneous decision-making. As a consequence, individuals may adopt unsafe health practices or reject evidence-based recommendations, undermining collective public health efforts. Therefore, comprehensive strategies to enhance digital literacy and critical thinking are indispensable in empowering communities to navigate and evaluate health information responsibly.

Impulsive behaviors in health information seeking are exacerbated by algorithms that personalize content based on user preferences rather than accuracy or relevance. Maha et al. (2024) highlight that individuals with low digital literacy tend to follow the first recommendations they encounter, rather than the most credible sources. This situation is further aggravated by the lack of formal education on methods for evaluating medical information, leaving the public vulnerable to manipulation and bias. The deficit in formal education on the utilization of digital sources has a direct impact on the public's actions regarding health management.

Critical observation of digital health literacy and health information-seeking behavior is imperative for responding to the rapid cultural shift toward digitalized healthcare services. Such a transformation must be scrutinized, as it affects vital aspects of public health where the quality of individual decisions shapes collective health outcomes. Without adequate preparation, the public is prone to making impulsive decisions that may have adverse consequences for healthcare systems (Khayru & Issalillah, 2022). Furthermore, ongoing global digital transformation creates disparities in knowledge access across population groups, thereby amplifying health inequalities. Systematic observation through thematic synthesis enables the identification of root problems with reference to recent facts and trends.

The present study aims to analyze the imbalance between the ease of access to digital health information and the public's capacity to filter and interpret data, as well as to examine the impact of misinformation, algorithmic bias, and limitations in formal education on health information-seeking and interpretation behavior. The results of this review are expected to enrich the scientific literature on digital health literacy and offer insights for policymakers and educators in designing evidence-based digital health education programs.

RESEARCH METHODS

The approach employed in this study adheres to a qualitative literature review methodology, which is utilized to critically examine and explore issues related to digital health literacy and changes in public behavior regarding medical information-seeking. A qualitative literature review enables researchers to identify key patterns within the literature and to develop a comprehensive understanding by analyzing narratives, themes, and interrelationships among concepts across a range of valid sources. Thematic synthesis serves as the foundation for structuring findings, integrating data, and formulating theoretical implications. This approach is recommended by Creswell (2013), who emphasizes the importance of qualitative data interpretation and the construction of thematic categories to contribute authentically to the advancement of scientific knowledge.

The literature corpus analyzed in this study was selected based on relevance, academic quality, and recency of publication within the last two decades. The literature selection process followed the guidelines outlined by Petticrew and Roberts (2006), ensuring inclusion of peer-reviewed and scientifically recognized works, including journal articles, reference books, and official research reports. Researchers systematically conducted data searches, screening, and critical appraisal of each publication, subsequently categorizing them into principal themes that align with the objectives of the study. The validity and reliability of the data were strengthened through triangulation of multiple sources, inter-coder discussions, and argument mapping, thereby obtaining a holistic understanding of the challenges in digital health literacy.

Thematic synthesis analysis techniques adopted in this study follow the model proposed by Braun and Clarke (2006), which provides a step-by-step framework for the systematic identification, analysis, and reporting of themes from qualitative data. This process involves data familiarization, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing, clear definition, and finally composing an analytical report. As such, the synthesis produced is not merely a reiteration of existing findings, but rather weaves new perspectives and critical insights into the phenomena of digital health literacy. This method bridges empirical data and conceptual reasoning, thus enabling the formulation of recommendations that are both scientifically relevant and applicable in practice (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Disparities in Access and Digital Competency in Filtering Health Information

The substantial shift toward the digital era has rendered access to health information virtually boundless, affording individuals unprecedented opportunities to obtain medical references independently. However, this pervasive access is not always accompanied by an equitable development of digital competence across the general population. While many individuals possess the means to retrieve online medical data, a significant portion remain ill-equipped to critically filter, evaluate, and comprehend the information encountered. Manganello et al. (2017) highlight digital health literacy as a pivotal variable in determining whether digital access yields positive public health outcomes or, conversely, introduces new risks. In numerous countries, disparities in comprehension and utilization of internet-based health technologies are pronounced, reflecting a gap between those with high and low levels of digital literacy. Furthermore, the exponential proliferation of health-related digital platforms frequently surpasses the reach of effective public digital literacy education, leaving large segments of society vulnerable to misinformation and misinterpretation. The absence of standardized guidelines for assessing the credibility of online medical information further complicates consumer navigation and increases the difficulty in distinguishing reliable sources from misleading content. Research has demonstrated that inadequate digital health literacy is correlated with heightened susceptibility to digital health scams and improper use of online self-diagnosis tools, both of which may contribute to adverse health outcomes (Norman & Skinner, 2006). In addition, cultural, socioeconomic, and educational disparities further exacerbate existing inequities, resulting in varying levels of health empowerment and widening the gap in accessibility and quality of healthcare services (Nalin et al., 2022).

Competence in filtering medical information within digital environments is intrinsically linked to critical thinking skills, an understanding of health terminologies, and the ability to assess the credibility of information sources (Jiang & Beaudoin, 2016). Jacobs et al. (2017) found that individuals with limited digital literacy are more prone to exhibiting confirmation bias during online searches, often placing undue trust in narratives that align with their personal beliefs while neglecting scientific explanations. This phenomenon is particularly relevant among populations unaccustomed to accessing scientific journals or standardized reference materials, as the dominant trend is to rely on popular search engines as primary sources for health information. Consequently, medical decision-making grounded in insufficient or partial understanding amplifies the risks associated with maladaptive information processing.

Moreover, the lack of formal education pertaining to the evaluation of online health content increases vulnerability to misinformation and oversimplified narratives, thereby undermining informed decision-making (Wang et al., 2023). The prevalence of algorithm-driven content dissemination across digital platforms can reinforce cognitive biases, further narrowing exposure to diverse scientific viewpoints. Additionally, social and community factors—such as peer influence and cultural norms—often play a significant role in shaping individuals' interpretations of medical information found online, compounding the challenges to accurate understanding. Collectively, these tendencies heighten the risk of suboptimal health choices and underscore the urgent need for targeted interventions in digital health literacy education.

Within the digital landscape, the proliferation of ambiguous information constructs a new reality in which inattentive users are increasingly susceptible to misinformation and fabricated news. Sundell et al. (2022) emphasize that low levels of digital literacy undermine society's capacity to differentiate between opinion, subjective experience, and empirically substantiated facts. As a result, the quality of health decision-making is influenced not only by data objectivity but also by individual subjectivity, which is vulnerable to algorithmic manipulation and the dynamics of viral content dissemination on social media. This is manifested in impulsive behaviors, such as self-medication without professional consultation or adopting health practices based on unverified recommendations.

Research by Abou Hashish and Alnajjar (2024) reveals that even nursing students continue to face challenges in discerning source credibility amidst the massive influx of digital health information. Digital competency within health education does not automatically translate to optimal digital health literacy. A similar phenomenon is observed among older adults and rural populations, whose limited digital access and training constrain their capacity to navigate digital environments effectively (Ji et al., 2024). This reality creates disparities in opportunities between those equipped to navigate the digital world and those who continue to rely on traditional or unverified viral information sources.

Digital technology has disrupted conventional boundaries to accessing medical knowledge, while simultaneously introducing new challenges for individuals to consistently update their abilities to filter and analyze information. Zywiotek (2024) underscores that rapid technological advancement, if not matched by robust digital health literacy strategies, will yield a society increasingly vulnerable to non-productive patterns of health decision-making. In such circumstances, many individuals make significant health decisions based solely on risky and unvalidated online information without any consultation or verification from credible medical sources. This can trigger a domino effect—widespread propagation of erroneous decisions within the community, ultimately jeopardizing public health outcomes. These challenges highlight the urgency of integrating digital health literacy education across all sectors, ensuring that society is equipped to adapt to the continuously evolving information landscape and that scientific standards remain central in all medical decision-making processes.

The imbalance between access and competence underscores that technological proficiency alone is insufficient to ensure sound decision-making (Fariz & Issalillah, 2021). Abdoh (2022) points out that, although students and educated groups are increasingly accustomed to digital sources, there remains a tendency to select the most popular data—especially under

psychological stress or anxiety arising from particular health symptoms. Within a digital ecosystem dominated by rapid and instant information, the public's filtering ability is tested by their sensitivity to verified sources and resilience against unsubstantiated viral content.

The managerial implications of this access imbalance are substantial for health institutions and regulators. Providers of digital health information must adapt content design and navigation systems to enhance comprehension, rather than merely prioritizing convenience. Alhur et al. (2023) emphasize the necessity of widescale digital health literacy training, utilizing collaborative and participatory learning models that are contextually tailored to different age groups and regions. Cross-disciplinary collaboration is essential to establish validation protocols for online sources and foster a culture of health literacy as an integral part of the digital lifestyle.

Medical decision-making in the digital era is no longer the exclusive domain of institutions or professionals; individuals have become central actors. Thapliyal et al. (2024) assert that social media offers space for dialogue, but concurrently amplifies the distribution of non-scientific narratives that can shape collective bias. Individuals must be equipped with critical skills, including the ability to cross-check references, recognize red flags in biased information, and understand the limitations of data presented online. These competencies not only improve the quality of decisions but also mitigate the risk of adverse health outcomes stemming from misinformation.

Often, digital literacy disparities are exacerbated by users' psychological dynamics. Emotions, anxiety, and urgency during the search for medical answers often drive hasty and superficial information seeking. Yeo et al. (2024) explain that mental health digital literacy interventions can reduce the tendency towards panic searching and encourage more rational search strategies. The success of such interventions underscores the importance of strengthening digital health literacy from an early age, both through formal education curricula and community-based public training.

The expanding role of digital technology within the healthcare ecosystem has contributed to a tangible digital divide. Aisyah and Issalillah (2022), and Jacobs et al. (2017) indicate that young adults are typically more adaptive to technological change, whereas older adults and rural populations often lag in technology utilization and the development of critical literacy. This gap results in differentiated quality of medical decision-making among population groups, making digital literacy intervention and community-based health education an urgent necessity amid global digitalization trends.

As technology progresses, it becomes increasingly evident that high-quality medical decision-making can only be achieved when supported by data filtering abilities and critical thinking skills (Nappi & Cuocolo, 2020). The sheer volume of information is not a guarantee of its veracity. In practice, the public must continuously learn to screen, validate, and apply scientific reasoning in all decision-making processes, ensuring that digital transformation genuinely enhances both individual and collective health status. Without such skills, individuals are vulnerable to misinformation and may develop misplaced confidence in unreliable sources. Therefore, fostering these competencies should become a central objective in health education initiatives as societies move deeper into the era of digital health.

The imbalance between ease of access and information-filtering competence has direct consequences for information-seeking behaviors and the quality of medical decisions (Chen et al., 2018). Society must be empowered through continuous digital literacy education to develop more adaptive and reflective abilities for evaluating and filtering information. Ideally, each individual should become a discerning consumer of information, thus anchoring medical decisions to evidence and minimizing risk.

Progressive policy instruments and educational initiatives need to be developed responsively alongside the rapid pace of digital innovation in health. Therefore, digital health literacy should be articulated through various public programs and services as part of strengthening the foundations for future public health.

The Dominance of Misinformation and Limitations of Digital Literacy

The emergence and dominance of misinformation within the digital realm have introduced considerable complexity to public behaviors concerning the search for and interpretation of medical information. Algorithms underlying social media platforms and search engines systematically amplify the visibility of viral content, irrespective of scientific validity (Khayru & Issalillah, 2022). Zywiotek (2024) highlights that much of the prevailing discourse on online platforms is not necessarily the most credible, but rather that which can most effectively capture public attention. This tendency gives rise to patterns of instantaneous information seeking, characterized by bias, whereby scientific truth is often eclipsed by sensation and the perceptions of the broad majority. Additionally, the absence of rigorous content validation mechanisms in digital environments elevates the risk of disseminating misleading or unverified health claims. The inherently viral nature of digital information allows erroneous messages to spread far more rapidly than scientifically substantiated clarifications, frequently shaping public opinion well before official responses from health authorities emerge. Trust in public figures and influencers on social media further enhances the acceptance of unproven medical information, widening the gap between public perception and established scientific standards. Ultimately, polarization and digital echo chambers can constrict the diversity of information accessible to society, rendering certain groups increasingly susceptible to reinforced misinformation and rejection of legitimate scientific facts.

Health misinformation has evolved into a digital epidemic, proliferating at a pace that is difficult to match with evidence-based education. Thapliyal et al. (2024) emphasize that while digital technology expands information reach, it simultaneously enables actors with non-scientific motives to shape public opinion. The swift propagation of information often creates a domino effect, promoting alternative search patterns driven by sensation rather than evidence. Many users, especially those lacking digital literacy, ultimately rely more on personal judgment than on data-driven rationality. The rampant spread of false news nurtures anxiety, fosters impulsive decision-making, and strengthens irrational assumptions about health.

The phenomenon of algorithmic bias further exacerbates these challenges, given that content personalization tends to reinforce users' pre-existing beliefs. Abdoh (2022) found that algorithms employed by search engines and social media platforms intensify user preferences by tailoring results to prior digital behaviors. This entrenches digital echo chambers, wherein individuals are exposed to repetitive references, lacking access to diverse viewpoints or more

credible academic sources. Consequently, the interpretation of medical information becomes increasingly narrow, and decisions are made without consideration of multidisciplinary and scientific facts. As a result, there is an urgent need to develop mechanisms that promote exposure to balanced perspectives and support informed, evidence-based decision-making in digital health contexts.

Formal educational interventions in digital health literacy remain insufficient according to numerous studies. Abou Hashish and Alnajjar (2024) note that, although technology adoption is expanding within educational institutions, the development of digital competence and critical thinking skills is often partial and fragmented. Formal curricula have yet to fully recognize digital health literacy as a core competency, leading to information assimilation processes that frequently bypass the analytic stages essential to medical decision-making. This educational gap allows the public to be more easily influenced by popular narratives.

Polarization of online medical information-seeking behavior is ultimately inevitable, with groups possessing limited access and education becoming prime targets for misinformation. Social and cultural experiences significantly intensify the instability of digital searching behaviors, especially within populations historically deprived of health education. Insufficient digital literacy leads these groups to accept information uncritically, making them vulnerable to hoaxes and misleading narratives. Research by Yeo et al. (2024) demonstrated that digital mental health literacy interventions can effectively reduce search bias and improve digital source evaluation, particularly among socio-economically disadvantaged populations. However, the optimal impact of digital literacy interventions can only be achieved with the support of a coherent ecosystem—one that integrates formal education, enhances media content quality, and strengthens public policy responsiveness to digital dynamics. Continuous cross-sector collaboration is required to establish a conducive social environment in which individuals are not only able to access information but are also trained to critically evaluate and utilize it for improved health outcomes (Mansour & Fatouh, 2023).

The manifestation of algorithmic bias in online searching behavior is evident as society increasingly prioritizes search speed over content validity. Sources that garner endorsements or frequent shares are often perceived as the most credible, despite the absence of verification for the facts and recommendations they present (Manganello et al., 2017). The reinforcement of data based on clicks, keyword popularity, or public figure endorsement creates an illusion of truth, leading individuals to fail in recognizing the actual quality of information (Varma & Singh, 2023). In this environment, information assessment trends toward crowdsourcing rather than academic scrutiny.

A lack of critical literacy has led many users to become trapped in decision-making processes based on emotionally resonant narratives (Pauncefort, 2021). Alhur et al. (2023) emphasize that the role of digital communities often fails to provide adequate filtration against hoaxes; online discussions, which hold the potential as platforms for education, frequently become fertile ground for the dissemination of unfounded claims. This behavioral pattern contributes to the construction of a new digital culture in which validation is grounded not in scientific authority, but rather in social resonance. This habit is especially problematic amid insufficient data evaluation literacy and the urgent demand for instant medical information.

The widespread misconceptions circulating in online media significantly affect collective perception, sometimes contradicting the recommendations of official health authorities (Song et al., 2019). Sundell, Wångdahl, and Grauman (2022) indicate that even highly educated groups continue to encounter challenges in critically interpreting digital health messages. Data search patterns often remain superficial, lacking support from multi-layered validation processes. The rapid absorption of messages without cross-verification increases the likelihood of misinterpretation, which can result in harmful health practices. Moreover, social media algorithms that prioritize content popularity further reinforce the spread of erroneous narratives in digital public spaces. Low levels of digital health literacy across various segments of society exacerbate the divergence between scientific knowledge and public perception. Limited access to authoritative sources causes many individuals to rely on viral personal opinions or anecdotes rather than empirically validated evidence. Meanwhile, the social pressure to make prompt decisions within the deluge of digital information augments the risk of incorrect medical actions with far-reaching negative impacts on public health.

The culture of online medical information-seeking has become so commodified that the distinction between personal opinion and scientific fact is increasingly blurred. Jacobs et al. (2017) note that the expanding influence of social media has eroded the epistemic foundation that underpins scientific knowledge. In this context, decision-making is often shaped more by content virality than by research findings or the recommendations of credible health professionals (Yi et al., 2024). This fundamental transformation in how information is accessed and interpreted not only challenges the integrity of science in public domains but also poses serious implications for the future of public health. The growing reliance on logics of popularity and digital trends heightens the risk of misinformation proliferation, rendering society more vulnerable to making medical decisions based on majority perceptions rather than rigorously tested scientific evidence. This phenomenon demands systematic efforts to reinforce digital literacy and to establish safeguards for scientific knowledge amidst the constant flow of online information (Sánchez Vega et al., 2023).

The role of public policy in addressing the dominance of misinformation is crucial for strengthening the digital literacy system (Schiavo, 2020). Multidisciplinary interventions, such as collaborations among educational institutions, media, and health communities, are increasingly relevant to foster more science-based digital information-search and appraisal behaviors. The establishment of officially sanctioned information channels that are easily accessible and designed with an educational approach has proven effective in reducing public exposure to fake news and algorithmic bias (Thapliyal et al., 2024). Therefore, continuous evaluation and adaptation of these policy measures are essential to ensure that digital literacy initiatives remain responsive to evolving patterns of information dissemination and technological innovation.

Addressing issues of digital health literacy and behavioral change in medical information-seeking requires a sustainable approach (Okan et al., 2023). Amid the incessant flow of digital information, the public must be equipped with the skills to navigate, comprehend, and critically evaluate health information responsibly (Issalillah & Hardyansah, 2022). The foundational step is to develop digital health literacy through both formal and informal education—school curricula and public campaigns that engage all societal strata. Governments and health

institutions should provide user-friendly, informative, and trustworthy information platforms, while collaboration with community leaders and influencers can amplify the dissemination of credible messages. A healthy digital culture, grounded in collective literacy and critical thinking, provides the basis for fostering more positive changes in the search for and interpretation of medical information.

The success of digital health literacy transformation is determined by the synergy of education, interdisciplinary collaboration, and the reinforcement of publicly based information validation systems. Such synergy will engender a digital ecosystem that is not only inclusive but also adaptive to the dynamic evolution of knowledge in the information technology era.

CONCLUSION

In summary, it is evident that the dynamics of digital health literacy and shifting public behavior in seeking medical information have a profound impact on the quality of both individual and collective medical decision-making. The imbalance between ease of access and digital literacy skills, the predominance of misinformation, algorithmic bias, and limitations in formal education constitute a set of interrelated factors that collectively weaken society's capacity for critical evaluation and evidence-based decision-making. In the absence of robust digital literacy initiatives, various public health risks will persist, particularly for groups yet to be exposed to a data-driven culture of scientific knowledge.

The implications of these findings underscore the urgent need for a reconstruction of educational systems, enhanced multi-stakeholder collaboration in providing credible digital information resources, and the strengthening of a culture of critical thinking within society. Educational institutions, regulators, healthcare providers, and community leaders must adopt proactive roles in establishing a healthy digital literacy ecosystem. Further implications extend to public policy and the development of digital health services, which must remain responsive to the demands of evidence-based education. Building rigorous validation and monitoring systems for digital content is essential to minimize the influence of misinformation.

As a recommendation, the development of digital health literacy programs should become a cross-sectoral priority, implemented inclusively with sensitivity to the literacy levels of diverse societal groups. Synergy between formal education, public campaigns, the provision of credible reference sources, and collaboration with digital stakeholders will strengthen the behavioral transformation process in seeking and interpreting medical information. Fostering a culture of fact-checking, training in source verification, and creating a digital ecosystem that is both user-friendly and educational are all crucial steps toward cultivating a society that is mentally and behaviorally healthy.

REFERENCES

Abdoh, E. 2022. Online Health Information Seeking and Digital Health Literacy among Information and Learning Resources Undergraduate Students. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 48(6), 1-9.

Abou Hashish, E. A., & Alnajjar, H. 2024. Digital Proficiency: Assessing Knowledge, Attitudes, and Skills in Digital Transformation, Health Literacy, and Artificial Intelligence among University Nursing Students. BMC Medical Education, 24(1), 1-11.

Aisyah, N. & F. Issalillah. 2021. The Relationship between Social Media Use and Mental Health in Users with FoMO. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 33 – 38.

Aisyah, N. & F. Issalillah. 2022. Healthy Eating, Mental Health, and Environmental Awareness: Implications for the Health of Young Generations. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 157 – 162.

Alhur, A., Alhur, A., Alshammari, M., Alhur, A., Shamlan, W. B., Alqahtani, M., Alhabsi, S., Hassan, R., Baawadh, E., Alahmari, S., Alshahrani, N., Alwadae, R., Abdalla, E. M., Abuali, H, & Alshahrani, M. 2023. Digital Health Literacy and Web-Based Health Information-Seeking Behaviors in the Saudi Arabian Population. Cureus, 15(12), 1-12.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. 2006. Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.

Chen, Y.-Y., Li, C. M., Liang, J. C., & Tsai, C. C. 2018. Health Information Obtained from the Internet and Changes in Medical Decision Making: Questionnaire Development and Cross-Sectional Survey. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 20(2), 1-10.

Creswell, J. W. 2013. Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing among Five Approaches (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications, Los Angeles.

Fang, S., & Mushtaque, I. 2024. The Moderating Role of Health Literacy and Health Promoting Behavior in the Relationship among Health Anxiety, Emotional Regulation, and Cyberchondria. Psychology Research and Behavior Management, 51-62.

Fariz, F. A. B. M., & F. Issalillah. 2021. Narrowing the Economic Gap: The Impact of Technological Innovation on Access and Welfare of the Poor. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 111 – 116.

Hariani, M., N. A. Aaliyah, & F. Issalillah. 2021. Legal Guarantee of Children's Rights in Education and Health. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 177 – 180.

Issalillah, F. & R. Hardyansah. 2022. The Impact of the Digital Divide and Misinformation on Participation and Trust in Local Communities. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 7 – 12.

Issalillah, F. & R. K. Khayru. 2023. Review of Generation Z Response to Telemedicine in Surabaya City. Journal of Science, Technology and Society, 4(1), 25-34.

Jacobs, W., Amuta, A. O., & Jeon, K. C. 2017. Health Information Seeking in the Digital Age: An Analysis of Health Information Seeking Behavior Among US Adults. Cogent Social Sciences, 3(1), 1-11.

Ji, H., Dong, J., Pan, W., & Yu, Y. 2024. Associations Between Digital Literacy, Health Literacy, and Digital Health Behaviors among Rural Residents: Evidence from Zhejiang, China. International Journal for Equity in Health, 23(1), 1-20.

Jiang, S., & Beaudoin, C. E. 2016. Health Literacy and the Internet: An Exploratory Study on the 2013 HINTS Survey. Computers in Human Behavior, 58, 240-248.

Khayru, R. K. & F. Issalillah. 2022. The Impact of Social Media on Mental Health: An Analysis of the Effects on Anxiety, Depression, and Sleep Disorders in Adolescents and Young Adults. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 95 – 102.

Khayru, R.K. & F. Issalillah. 2022. Service Quality and Patient Satisfaction of Public Health Care. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 1(1), 20 – 23.

Khayru, R.K. & F. Issalillah. 2022. The Equal Distribution of Access to Health Services Through Telemedicine: Applications and Challenges. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 2(3), 24 – 27.

König, L., Kuhlmey, A., & Suhr, R. 2024. Digital Health Literacy of the Population in Germany and its Association with Physical Health, Mental Health, Life Satisfaction, and Health Behaviors: Nationally Representative Survey Study. JMIR Public Health and Surveillance, 10(1), 1-13.

Maha, C. C., Kolawole, T. O., & Abdul, S. 2024. Revolutionizing Community Health Literacy: The Power of Digital Health Tools in Rural Areas of the US and Africa. GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 19(02), 286-296.

Manganello, J., Gerstner, G., Pergolino, K., Graham, Y., Falisi, A., & Strogatz, D. 2017. The Relationship of Health Literacy with Use of Digital Technology for Health Information: Implications for Public Health Practice. Journal of Public Health Management and Practice, 23(4), 380-387.

Mansour, R. F., & Fatouh, A. 2023. Measurement of Bias in the Contents of Web Search for Health Information Retrieval. Journal of Scientometric Research, 12(3), 621-630.

Nalin, C., S. A. B. Saidi, M. Hariani, V. Mendrika, & F. Issalillah. 2022. The Impact of Social Disparities on Public Health: An Analysis of Service Access, Quality of Life, and Policy Solutions. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 39 – 46.

Nappi, C., & Cuocolo, A. 2020. The Machine Learning Approach: Artificial Intelligence is Coming to Support Critical Clinical Thinking. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology, 27(1), 156-158.

Norman, C. D., & Skinner, H. A. 2006. eHealth Literacy: Essential Skills for Consumer Health in a Networked World. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 8(2), 1-10.

Okan, O., Messer, M., Levin-Zamir, D., Dadaczynski, K., Paakkari, L., Schaeffer, D., & Sorensen, P. 2023. How Health Literacy and Digital Health Literacy Contributed to Public Understanding and Misunderstanding During the Pandemic. Inf Serv Use, 43(2), 1-16

Pauncefort, E. 2021. Critical Literacy is at the Heart of the Answer. Emerald Publishing Limited, Leeds United Kingdom.

Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. 2006. Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide. Blackwell Publishing, Malden.

Sánchez Vega, E., Zepeda, M., Gutiérrez, E., Martínez, M. E., Cano Gómez, S., & Caldera, S. 2023. Internet Health Information on Patient's Decision-Making: Implications, Opportunities and Challenges. Medical Research Archives, 11(7), 1-9.

Schiavo, R. 2020. The Role of Civic Literacy in Infodemic Management. Journal of Communication in Healthcare, 13(4), 253-255.

Song, S., Zhao, Y. C., Song, X., & Zhu, Q. 2019. The Role of Health Literacy on Credibility Judgment of Online Health Misinformation. In 2019 IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics, 1-3.

Sundell, E., Wångdahl, J., & Grauman, Å. 2022. Health Literacy and Digital Health Information-Seeking Behavior–a Cross-Sectional Study among Highly Educated Swedes. BMC Public Health, 22(1), 1-10.

Thapliyal, K., Thapliyal, M., & Thapliyal, D. 2024. Social Media and Health Communication: A Review of Advantages, Challenges, and Best Practices. Emerging Technologies for Health Literacy and Medical Practice, 364-384.

Varma, R., & Singh, T. 2023. Cyberchondria and Health: Exploring the Linkages. Mind and Society, 12(2), 29-34.

Wang, Q., Tao, C., Yuan, Y., Zhang, S., & Liang, J. 2023. Current Situations and Challenges in the Development of Health Information Literacy. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(3), 1-13.

Yeo, G., Reich, S. M., Liaw, N. A., & Chia, E. Y. M. 2024. The Effect of Digital Mental Health Literacy Interventions on Mental Health: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 26, 1-19.

Yi, S., Guo, Y., Lin, Z., & Cao, C. 2024. Is Information Evaluated Subjectively? Social Media Has Changed the Way Users Search for Medical Information. Digital Health, 10, 1-14.

Zywiotek, J. 2024. Internet Treatment is a Blessing or a Curse: Health Knowledge Management. In European Conference on Knowledge Management. Academic Conferences International Limited, 967-973.