EDUCATIONAL AND COMMUNICATIVE DETERMINANTS OF PUBLIC ATTITUDES TOWARD VACCINATION AND HEALTH RECOMMENDATIONS ACROSS DIVERSE SOCIETIES

Rafadi Khan Khayru

Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang

Correspondence: rafadikhankhayru@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The acceptance of vaccines and adherence to public health measures are deeply influenced by the interplay of science literacy, effective communication, and educational practices within societies. This paper explores the relationship between public understanding of science and attitudes toward vaccination, drawing on a thematic synthesis of global literature. Findings reveal that communities with advanced science literacy tend to embrace vaccines more readily, as they are equipped to critically evaluate information and differentiate evidence from opinion or misinformation. However, the impact of science literacy is mediated by communicative clarity, cultural context, and community trust in scientific and governmental authorities. Educational efforts that center on participatory dialogue—delivered through language and channels resonant with local realities—demonstrate substantial promise in increasing public engagement and vaccine uptake. Digital environments, while fertile grounds for misinformation, also provide unique avenues for targeted, interactive, and culturally sensitive educational interventions. Crucially, collaboration across public, academic, and community sectors is indispensable for countering skepticism and fostering positive collective norms regarding vaccination. Building relationships through transparency, authenticity, and continual adaptation is necessary to bridge the gap between scientific evidence and societal attitudes, particularly in environments marked by institutional distrust. The review concludes with recommendations highlighting the role of inclusive communication and lifelong science education as foundational strategies for strengthening societal resilience and ensuring the sustainability of vaccine acceptance in the coming decades.

Keywords: science literacy, vaccine acceptance, health communication, public trust, participatory education, misinformation, community health.

INTRODUCTION

From the earliest civilizations to contemporary societies, the quest to understand and mitigate health challenges has been a driving force in human progress. Societal responses to illness have evolved in tandem with discoveries in medicine, underscoring the pivotal role of knowledge and innovation in safeguarding communities. As populations and technologies have grown increasingly interconnected, so too has the necessity for informed health interventions capable of addressing complex global threats. This interplay between scientific progress and public welfare forms the backbone of modern public health strategies.

Across human history, the advancement of science has fundamentally shaped public health, shaping both policies and collective perspectives on disease prevention. In the modern era, scientific understanding is continuously tested by an evolving landscape of social communication and global health crises. Widespread initiatives have demonstrated that vaccines stand as among the crowning achievements of biomedical research, credited for dramatic reductions in morbidity and mortality from infectious diseases (Rodrigues & Plotkin, 2020). Despite the historical success of vaccination programs, the adoption of new vaccines or the reimplementation of established ones is not a simple matter of rolling out medical technologies: it requires, crucially, an informed and science-literate society (Issalillah & Khayru, 2023).

Today's public is highly exposed to a variety of information sources that influence perceptions of health interventions. While efforts by health authorities have produced detailed public education campaigns, these often compete with misleading narratives, myths, and conspiracy theories (Khayru & Issalillah, 2022). Studies in multiple countries have highlighted that the level of scientific understanding among the general population directly correlates with attitudes toward vaccine acceptance (Montagni et al., 2021; Gusar et al., 2021). This reality is particularly apparent during pandemics, where uncertainty combines with information overload, leading to confusion and divided social opinion.

Questions of science literacy extend beyond the ability to recall biological facts; they encompass the knowledge to interpret scientific processes, to evaluate sources critically, and to distinguish evidence-based claims from misinformation or opinion (Biasio et al., 2021; Sørensen et al., 2015). Where societies lack this foundation, there is a tendency toward susceptibility to emotionally charged messages that amplify existing doubts regarding the safety and necessity of vaccines. Media, especially digital forums and social networks, can catalyze this process and amplify vaccine skepticism and hesitancy (Betsch et al., 2010).

Global health organizations note that the consequences of inadequate science literacy are far-reaching, affecting not just individual health behaviors but the collective resilience of societies facing outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases (WHO Immunization Agenda 2030, 2021). The persistence of vaccine-preventable epidemics, even in regions with available immunization infrastructure, signals a deeper challenge rooted in communication and public trust. In many settings, mistrust towards scientific institutions, paired with barriers in public health communication, remain barriers to achieving high immunization coverage and the public good (Larson et al., 2014).

A core problem emerges from the persistence of divergent perceptions regarding vaccination, despite abundant scientific evidence supporting the safety and benefits of vaccines. Vaccine hesitancy is present even among groups with access to education and healthcare due to the powerful influence of social, economic, and psychological factors (Lane et al., 2018). According to the World Health Organization, reluctance or refusal to vaccinate represents a growing challenge threatening the progress of immunization programs globally (WHO, 2015). Numerous studies find that individuals with lower science literacy are more likely to rely on hearsay, community opinion, or online misinformation, which in turn contributes to lower rates of vaccine uptake (Lee et al., 2015; Montagni et al., 2021).

Misinformation related to vaccines often capitalizes on existing knowledge gaps, manipulating emotions and reinforcing fears. This poses a persistent challenge for public health because false claims are frequently easier to understand and share than scientific explanations based on data and probability (Betsch et al., 2010; Biasio et al., 2021). For example, the proliferation of anti-vaccination content online can sway opinions and harden opposition to immunization programs, especially when health literacy is poor.

Another persistent issue relates to the inadequacy of public health communication strategies. Studies have indicated that messages crafted using expert language but not translated into accessible terms fail to engage the broader public (Paul et al., 2021). The digital divide further complicates matters by limiting access to accurate information among certain demographics, thus deepening disparities in health literacy and, consequently, in vaccine acceptance (Gusar et al., 2021).

Moreover, the relationship between institutional trust and vaccine attitudes is crucial. When scientific communication is not transparent, relatable, or tailored to local realities, skepticism intensifies (Montagni et al., 2021; Marin et al., 2008). Inadequate trust in health authorities and scientific expertise, especially where science education is weak, diminishes the likelihood of embracing public health interventions, no matter how compelling the empirical evidence.

For these reasons, the primary concerns surrounding science literacy and societal attitudes toward vaccination are multidimensional. Inadequate scientific comprehension leaves individuals vulnerable to misinformation, while deficiencies in effective communication and educational outreach exacerbate skepticism and mistrust. According to Lane et al. (2018) and Biasio et al. (2021), even active vaccination campaigns can fall short if they do not bridge the divide between specialized scientific discourse and public understanding.

The complexity of public sentiment toward vaccination draws from a matrix of cultural, educational, and communicative challenges. In communities with limited educational resources or access to digital infrastructure, public messages about the efficacy and safety of vaccines struggle to reach their audience, fostering confusion or resistance (Sørensen et al., 2015). These layered difficulties underline why science literacy is necessary, but insufficient on its own: without targeted and culturally attuned science communication, efforts to increase vaccine uptake remain incomplete.

In various societies, the low level of scientific comprehension among the population directly impedes the smooth implementation of vaccination and public health policies (Biasio et al., 2021; Sørensen et al., 2015). Scientific understanding involves more than awareness of medical facts; it extends to evaluating processes, discerning credible information, and differentiating between empirical evidence and misinformation (Aisyah & Issalillah, 2022). As misinformation and emotion-driven narratives permeate social networks, skepticism and distrust toward immunization programs persist, even when rigorous studies demonstrate their safety and efficacy (World Health Organization, 2021; Montagni et al., 2021). The issue intensifies when public health messages do not effectively connect scientific concepts with concrete benefits or daily realities, and access to qualified information remains unequally distributed. Furthermore, confidence in scientific and governmental institutions remains low in several contexts, amplifying resistance to health interventions.

As a consequence, these obstacles define the central research domain: the deficiency in science literacy is deeply rooted not only in the scarcity of knowledge, but also in the ways it is constructed, disseminated, and assimilated within social and cultural frameworks. Without using methods that prioritize inclusive and accessible communication, as well as strategies that resonate with daily experiences and values, progress in science literacy transpires slowly and struggles to influence community perspectives and choices regarding vaccination.

A salient issue confronting societies today stems from persistent inequalities in science literacy. Research has shown that health literacy, particularly in relation to vaccination, varies widely both within and across populations, with those of lower socioeconomic status or educational background exhibiting greater vulnerability to non-scientific narratives (Rodrigues & Plotkin, 2020; Lane et al., 2018). This vulnerability can manifest in hesitancy or outright rejection of vaccination, undermining decades of public health investment (Hariani et al., 2021).

A further layer of difficulty is that exposure to misinformation, amplified by social and digital media, can have outsized effects on communities with preexisting skepticism toward formal science (Betsch et al., 2010; Montagni et al., 2021). Public health initiatives, although hugely beneficial in aggregate, have had to adapt rapidly to manage this growing challenge, especially as misinformation campaigns have become more organized and adept at capitalizing on moments of crisis (Puri et al., 2020).

Recent studies have highlighted the lack of tailored interventions that bridge the gap between scientific knowledge and the beliefs held by the general public (Biasio et al., 2021; Montagni et al., 2021). When campaigns rely on generalized or technical language, or when they neglect cultural nuance, their effectiveness is substantially diminished. Such a shortcoming inhibits the potential for improving health outcomes through vaccination and precludes communities from realizing the robust public health benefits observed elsewhere (Sørensen et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015).

Observation of this topic is justified as science literacy is rapidly becoming a decisive factor in global health resilience. Understanding the dynamics between scientific comprehension and acceptance of vaccination is essential for shaping future educational interventions, communication strategies, and policy design. Such a focus ensures that responses to both emerging and endemic diseases are informed by current evidence and attuned to the realities faced by various communities.

This focus has never been timelier, as societies navigate the dual challenges of global pandemics and the viral spread of misinformation. Investigating how individuals form beliefs regarding vaccines based on their science literacy is vital for constructing more effective campaigns that promote both personal and societal well-being.

This investigation seeks to analyze the influence of science literacy on community attitudes related to vaccination, specifically by examining the mechanisms by which scientific understanding informs acceptance or skepticism. It also aims to dissect the communicative and educational elements that facilitate or impede positive attitudes toward vaccines, while highlighting how these dynamics play out in diverse sociocultural contexts. The anticipated contribution of this study lies in providing new insights for policy makers and health educators, nurturing more precise and responsive strategies for public engagement and health promotion.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employed a qualitative literature review approach to examine how science literacy shapes community attitudes toward vaccination and public health. The literature review design allows for a synthetization of knowledge from a diverse range of empirical and theoretical works, facilitating the recognition of patterns, contrasts, and newly emerging themes that inform policy and practice. Thematic synthesis was chosen as the analytic method, allowing for a more nuanced and structured understanding of the literature that extends beyond mere description. Braun & Clarke (2006) underscore the strength of thematic analysis in organizing, integrating, and interpreting vast textual datasets, making it suited for complex subjects such as science literacy and vaccination.

The process began with a systematic search for relevant journal articles and books in leading academic databases, focusing on empirical studies, reviews, and theoretical contributions addressing science literacy, public health, and vaccine acceptance. Sources were selected based on their empirical rigor, contemporary relevance, and the credibility of the publications. Inclusion criteria consisted of peer-reviewed status, relevance to the research questions, and clear methodological explication, whereas exclusion criteria focused on opinion pieces and reports lacking scientific foundation. Qualitative data gathered were coded, categorized, and synthesized to extract recurring themes and relationships, with attention to interdisciplinary perspectives (Ridley, 2012).

As a qualitative study, credibility and trustworthiness were enhanced through critical appraisal, triangulation of sources, and iterative revisiting of themes to ensure that findings are both grounded and contextually rich. The approach is informed by established guidelines for qualitative research and literature reviews as outlined by Creswell & Poth (2018) and Booth et al. (2016), ensuring transparency and reproducibility. The interpretive flexibility of this method enabled a dynamic analysis capable of addressing nuances in scientific communication, public receptivity, and socio-cultural variations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Science Literacy and Community Acceptance

Comprehensive understanding of science literacy among populations is pivotal in determining responses to vaccination and adherence to public health guidance. Science literacy, in its fullest sense, transcends basic knowledge of facts or recall of information; it involves the ability to integrate scientific concepts, interpret evolving evidence, and evaluate the authenticity of sources encountered in everyday life. Empirical studies highlight that societies with higher levels of science literacy tend to adopt vaccination more readily, displaying increased acceptance toward established medical recommendations (Biasio et al., 2021). This readiness stems chiefly from proficiency in distinguishing credible evidence from misinformation, which has become critically important in the age of pervasive digital communication. Data from Montagni et al. (2021) revealed robust associations between advanced health literacy and the likelihood of vaccine uptake.

Research further demonstrates that science literacy serves as a cornerstone for rational risk assessment in the context of vaccination. Members of the public who possess deep-seated scientific knowledge are generally better equipped to assess the statistical likelihood of vaccine side effects, recognize the significance of herd immunity, and appreciate the collective consequences of vaccine refusal (Brewer et al., 2007). Such rational processing positions individuals to contribute positively to public health objectives, minimizing susceptibility to irrational fears that tend to flourish where scientific comprehension is lacking.

Analysis of vaccine hesitancy recognizes its multifactorial nature but consistently identifies low science literacy as a driving factor (Lane et al., 2018; Betsch et al., 2010). In communities where this dimension is limited, emotional narratives, fueled by media sensationalism or anecdotal testimonies, take precedence over scientific reasoning and measurable outcomes. Betsch et al. (2010) demonstrated how critical online sources targeting vaccine skepticism disproportionately influence those least practiced in information verification and critical appraisal, ultimately impeding voluntary participation in immunization programs.

Societies currently grappling with persistent outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases often display patterns in which gaps in science literacy coincide with subpar immunization coverage (Rodrigues & Plotkin, 2020; Sørensen et al., 2015). Disparities in health outcomes reflect both inequities in educational access and the challenge of communicating science effectively to diverse and segmented publics. Studies from various geographic regions confirm that when foundational scientific and health concepts are poorly understood, even the most robust public health campaigns struggle to achieve their full impact (Gusar et al., 2021).

Institutional trust is woven tightly into the fabric of science literacy and acceptance of vaccination. According to Montagni et al. (2021), individuals who comprehend scientific principles and processes are more likely to recognize the validity of health authority recommendations and to cooperate with public guidelines. Conversely, limitations in scientific comprehension foster skepticism, indirectly fueling mistrust in institutional actors and the broader scientific enterprise.

Socio-cultural factors further mediate the relationship between science literacy and vaccine acceptance. Communities with limited resources often experience both informational and infrastructural deficits, impeding not only educational interventions but also access to clear and actionable health guidance (Sørensen et al., 2015). Cross-sectional assessments, such as that of Gusar et al. (2021), underscore persistent inequities in science communication that leave some populations more vulnerable to misinformation than others, locking them into cycles of uncertainty and vaccine refusal.

The link between science literacy and the effectiveness of health communication is also evident in the design of campaigns and interventions. When information about vaccines is presented in accessible language, contextualized within the lived realities of communities, and delivered through trusted channels, science literacy is mobilized as an asset in combating vaccine hesitancy (Biasio et al., 2021; Paul et al., 2021). Failure to consider audience knowledge and experience often leads to missed opportunities for persuasion and engagement.

Moreover, research on the long-term effects of science education reveals that early exposure to scientific reasoning skills has enduring effects on vaccine acceptance well into adulthood (Sørensen et al., 2015). Initiatives rooted in building foundational scientific literacy not only prepare individuals to discern fact from falsehood, but, over time, shape positive attitudes toward health interventions, growing community resilience.

Digital transformation has intensified both opportunities and risks associated with science literacy. On one hand, online educational resources have democratized access to knowledge, fostering broader public engagement with complex scientific issues (Montagni et al., 2021). On the other, digital environments are saturated with misinformation, rendering individuals with underdeveloped evaluative skills more vulnerable to persuasive but misleading content, with direct implications for vaccine decision-making.

Recent developments in thematic synthesis studies illustrate that increasing science literacy is rarely a linear process; even among the educated, skepticism can persist if science communication does not actively bridge cognitive and emotional dimensions (Biasio et al., 2021; Brewer et al., 2007). Educators and policymakers are increasingly challenged to not simply transmit information, but to cultivate skills of inquiry and discernment—a transformation that lies at the core of durable public health acceptance.

Yet, the presence of strong science literacy alone does not safeguard against all forms of hesitancy. Attitudes toward vaccination are interwoven with cultural, historical, and psychological threads that can override rational acceptance. Even scientifically literate individuals may exhibit doubt if social networks reward skepticism or if broader societal anxieties dominate public discourse. Successful public health strategies therefore require the integration of scientific and social insight, ensuring that messages resonate at both intellectual and emotional levels.

Finally, shaping attitudes toward vaccines involves more than boosting informational content; it demands iterative engagement, transparency, and responsiveness to the evolving concerns of the public. The iterative nature of science communication means that each campaign, crisis, or controversy offers further occasion to adapt, refine, and personalize strategies that foster informed decision-making and reinforce societal trust in scientific expertise.

These findings emphasize that the influence of science literacy is not uniform—it is mediated and sometimes disrupted by forces ranging from misinformation to institutional dynamics to individual psychological dispositions. Sustained improvements in vaccine acceptance require comprehensive educational and communicative approaches grounded in respect, cultural competence, and ongoing public dialogue.

Across evolving contexts, fostering science literacy emerges as an indispensable but incomplete solution. Its promise as a foundation for informed health behavior is ultimately fulfilled only where it is championed alongside responsive, empathetic, and multifaceted strategies. Societies that invest in science literacy are better equipped not just to withstand the turbulence of misinformation, but to build cohesive communities capable of rational collective action in the face of public health challenges.

Educational Communication in Public Health

In examining the intricate dynamics between scientific understanding and collective attitudes toward vaccines, communicative and educational factors emerge as decisive contributors. A primary determinant is the accessibility of health information, which must not only be available but also presented in a manner congruent with the daily experience and language proficiency of the intended audience (Nalin, 2021). Research confirms that when vaccine-related knowledge is disseminated through jargon-free language, using visuals and stories that resonate with people's lived realities, science literacy is noticeably enhanced (Biasio et al., 2021). Such approachable formats foster inclusiveness, rendering technical data more understandable and actionable across diverse demographic segments.

Trust emerges as an equally significant variable. Societies characterized by low confidence in scientific expertise or government institutions often exhibit greater caution or reluctance toward health directives (Larson et al., 2014). Communication strategies rooted in transparency—disclosing uncertainties, admitting limitations, and contextualizing evidence—are often more successful at building rapport, especially when authorities are seen as both competent and empathetic (Paul et al., 2021). If public health messaging avoids paternalism and instead genuinely addresses community concerns, it is more likely to overcome barriers stemming from skepticism or prior negative experiences.

Community-based education, delivered by trusted figures such as local leaders, faith-based personalities, or grassroots health workers, has been shown to exert an outsized impact on vaccine acceptance (Puri et al., 2020). Peer education and social modeling leverage existing networks of trust, allowing positive attitudes toward vaccination to proliferate organically through social endorsement rather than through top-down mandates (Gobbo et al., 2023). These approaches, particularly effective in environments marked by suspicion toward authorities, empower communities to become partners in public health rather than passive recipients of information.

The digital landscape now plays a dual role, acting both as an accelerator of misinformation and a crucial venue for legitimate education. Social media channels and online forums can, with responsible stewardship, be harnessed to increase the reach and resonance of scientifically accurate messages; targeted campaigns and interactive content have demonstrably improved the knowledge and attitudes of users (Puri et al., 2020). However, the proliferation of misinformation, sometimes propagated by influential anti-vaccination advocates, underscores the necessity for continuous monitoring and rapid counter-communication by credible institutions.

Cultural responsiveness underpins successful educational interventions. Studies in diverse settings reaffirm that respect for local values, histories, and religions is indispensable when designing and deploying health communication (Babi et al., 2023). Attempts to dismiss or trivialize cultural frameworks not only alienate communities but can provoke defensive postures, thereby undermining trust even further. Instead, public health campaigns that integrate cultural values or enlist the support of culturally significant stakeholders foster authentic dialogue and facilitate more sustainable shifts in attitude (Khayru & Issalillah, 2022).

Moreover, sustained dialogue, rather than one-off campaigns, grants communities the opportunity to express doubts, ask questions, and witness evolving scientific debates in real time (Murphy, 2017). This reciprocal exchange acknowledges the limits of current scientific knowledge and embraces uncertainty as a natural dimension of scientific inquiry, which paradoxically can enhance acceptance by diminishing perceptions of top-down imposition or hidden agendas (Carmona & Trujillo, 2023).

Evidence also suggests that educational systems have a lasting role distinct from crisis messaging. Curricula that include enquiry-based science learning, media literacy, and critical reflection cultivate evaluative skills necessary for the lifelong navigation of medical and health-related decisions (Carmona & Trujillo, 2023). By engaging students in scientific reasoning rather than rote learning, predispositions toward skepticism or credulity are mitigated from an early age, yielding a public more resilient to rumor and manipulation (Wirz et al., 2022).

Effective communication must also remain adaptive. As misinformation spreads and societal anxieties shift, the constant refinement of strategies—including the update of educational materials, the engagement of new messengers, and the deployment of fresh modes of digital outreach—ensures that interventions remain contextually sensitive and impactful (Su et al, 2022). Flexibility in the method, coupled with evidence-based evaluation, enables communicators to pivot quickly in response to emerging hesitancy factors (Puri et al., 2020).

Intersectoral collaboration is pivotal within this terrain. When partnerships among health ministries, educators, media actors, and non-governmental organizations are prioritized, coordinated campaigns can amplify core messages and present unified fronts against the noise of misinformation (Setiawan et al., 2023). Such collaborations foster broader credibility and provide multiple points of engagement, further embedding accurate information within local social ecosystems.

One underappreciated but vital mechanism is the empowerment of community voices. Participatory research approaches—in which community members co-create educational content or contribute to campaign planning—democratize the knowledge process and affirm communal agency (Kassymbekova et al., 2023). When people are engaged as stakeholders rather than mere targets, they are more likely to identify with and support health initiatives that align with their own priorities.

In settings fraught with distrust, restoring public faith in science and vaccination is not a matter of delivering more information; it requires cultivating relationships grounded in dialogue, transparency, cultural humility, and inclusion (Vitrianingsih & Issalillah, 2021). Only by fusing educational innovation with genuine communication can societies withstand the turbulence of modern misinformation and nurture enduring positive attitudes toward preventative health.

Recent evidence highlights the lesson that communication is not only about transmission but also about listening, adapting, and co-constructing understanding. This cyclical process facilitates resilience against emerging misinformation and reserves a central place for human connection in persuasive health education.

Ultimately, the measure of effective communicative and educational intervention lies in its ability to transcend social divides, bridge the gap between scientific evidence and lived experience, and, crucially, transform attitudes in a manner both rational and relatable. This sophisticated navigation of science, society, and trust offers the clearest pathway to increasing vaccine acceptance and fortifying public health.

CONCLUSION

Vaccine acceptance and public trust are intrinsically linked to the degree of science literacy in a society, shaped by the effectiveness of communication and education strategies contextualized within cultural and social realities. Deepened science literacy enables community members to critically navigate contradictory information, enhancing their readiness to engage in rational, health-promoting behaviors. Studies consistently confirm that multifaceted, empathetic, and participatory communication, combined with integrative education, are decisive in transforming attitudes—particularly where institutional trust is in question.

The implications of these findings underscore the necessity for sustained, adaptive, and transparent educational interventions. Building strong alliances across governmental, academic, and community sectors, fortifying participatory communication, and deploying culturally tailored educational initiatives will empower societies to counter misinformation and strengthen collective health outcomes. Societal resilience is not merely a byproduct of knowledge but is constructed through interactive processes that align factual information with shared values and lived realities.

Recommendations for future policy and practice begin with investing in lifelong science learning and expanding the accessibility of relevant knowledge through both formal curricula and public campaigns. Health communication must be oriented toward dialogue, address emotional and intellectual concerns, and encourage critical engagement at all levels. Collaboration with trusted community figures, strategic use of digital platforms, and continuous evaluation of communication outcomes will help sustain public confidence in health recommendations and vaccination initiatives.

REFERENCES

Aisyah, N. & F. Issalillah. 2022. Healthy Eating, Mental Health, and Environmental Awareness: Implications for the Health of Young Generations. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 157 – 162.

Babi, A., Issa, T., Issanov, A., Akhanova, S., Udalova, N., Koktova, S., Balykov, A., Sattarkyzy, Z., Imankulova, B., Kamzayeva, N., Almawi, W. Y., & Aimagambetova, G. 2023. Knowledge and Attitudes of Mothers Toward HPV Vaccination: A Cross-Sectional Study in Kazakhstan. Women's Health, 19, 1-12.

Betsch, C., Renkewitz, F., Betsch, T., & Ulshöfer, C. 2010. The Influence of Vaccine-Critical Websites on Perceiving Vaccination Risks. Journal of Health Psychology, 15(3), 446–455.

Biasio, L. R., Bonaccorsi, G., Lorini, C., & Pecorelli, S. 2021. Assessing COVID-19 Vaccine Literacy: A Preliminary Online Survey. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics, 17(5), 1304–1312.

Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. 2016. Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review (2nd ed.). Sage, Chennai.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. 2006. Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.

Brewer, N. T., Chapman, G. B., Gibbons, F. X., Gerrard, M., McCaul, K. D., & Weinstein, N. D. 2007. Meta-Analysis of the Relationship between Risk Perception and Health Behavior: The Example of Vaccination. Health Psychology, 26(2), 136–145.

Carmona, N., & Trujillo, M. 2023. Developing Vaccine Literacy for Urban Health Science Students, the Future Health Workforce. Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education, 24(2), 1-5.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. 2018. Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches (4th ed.). Sage Publications, London.

Gobbo, E. L. S., Hanson, C., Abunnaja, K. S. S., & Van Wees, S. H. 2023. Do Peer-Based Education Interventions Effectively Improve Vaccination Acceptance? A Systematic Review. BMC Public Health, 23, 1-13.

Gusar, I., Konjevoda, S., Babić, G., Hnatešen, D., Čebohin, M., Orlandini, R., & Dželalija, B. 2021. Pre-Vaccination COVID-19 Vaccine Literacy in a Croatian Adult Population: A Cross-Sectional Study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(13), 1-10.

Hariani, M., N. A. Aaliyah, & F. Issalillah. 2021. Legal Guarantee of Children's Rights in Education and Health. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 177 – 180.

Issalillah, F. & R. K. Khayru. 2023. Review of Generation Z Response to Telemedicine in Surabaya City. Journal of Science, Technology and Society, 4(1), 25-34.

Kassymbekova, F., Zhetpisbayeva, I., Tcoy, E., Dyussenov, R., Davletov, K., Rommel, A., & Glushkova, N. 2023. Exploring HPV Vaccine Knowledge, Attitudes, Barriers and Information Sources Among Parents, Health Professionals and Teachers in Kazakhstan: A Mixed-Methods Study Protocol. BMJ Open, 13(9), 1-11.

Khayru, R.K. & F. Issalillah. 2022. Service Quality and Patient Satisfaction of Public Health Care. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 1(1), 20 – 23.

Khayru, R.K. & F. Issalillah. 2022. The Equal Distribution of Access to Health Services Through Telemedicine: Applications and Challenges. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 2(3), 24 – 27.

Lane, S., MacDonald, N. E., Marti, M., & Dumolard, L. 2018. Vaccine Hesitancy Around the Globe: Analysis of Three Years of WHO/UNICEF Joint Reporting Form Data-2015–2017. Vaccine, 36(26), 3861–3867.

Larson, H. J., Jarrett, C., Eckersberger, E., Smith, D. M. D., & Paterson, P. 2014. Understanding Vaccine Hesitancy Around Vaccines and Vaccination from a Global Perspective: A Systematic Review of Published Literature, 2007–2012. Vaccine, 32(19), 2150–2159.

Lee, H. Y., Kwon, M., Vang, S., DeWolfe, J., Kim, N. K., & Lee, D. K. 2015. Disparities in Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Literacy and Vaccine Completion among Asian American Pacific Islander Undergraduates: Implications for Cancer Health Equity. Journal of America College Health, 63(5), 316–323.

Montagni, I., Ouazzani-Touhami, K., Mebarki, A., Texier, N., Schück, S., & Tzourio, C. 2021. Acceptance of a Covid-19 Vaccine is Associated with Ability to Detect Fake News and Health Literacy. Journal of Public Health, 43(4), 695–702.

Murphy, A. (2017). Dissemination vs. dialogue: an analysis of public engagement in science online.

Nalin, C. 2021. Comprehensive Legal and Policy Approaches to Reproductive Health and Women's Rights in Access Equity. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 285 – 290.

Paul, E., Steptoe, A., & Fancourt, D. 2021. Attitudes Towards Vaccines and Intention to Vaccinate Against COVID-19: Implications for Public Health Communications. The Lancet Regional Health. Europe, 1, 1-10.

Puri, N., Coomes, E. A., Haghbayan, H., & Gunaratne, K. 2020. Social Media and Vaccine Hesitancy: New Updates for the Era of COVID-19 and Globalized Infectious Diseases. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics, 16(11), 2586–2593.

Ridley, D. 2012. The Literature Review: A Step-by-Step Guide for Students. Sage, New York.

Rodrigues, C. M. C., & Plotkin, S. A. 2020. Impact of Vaccines; Health, Economic and Social Perspectives. Frontiers in Microbiology, 11, 1-15.

Setiawan, S., R. Hardyansah., R. K. Khayru., A. R. Putra, & S. Suwito. 2023. Consumer Protection in the Health Sector: The Legal Responsibilities of Pharmacists. Journal of Social Science Studies, 3(2), 131 – 138.

Su, Z., Zhang, H., McDonnell, D., Ahmad, J., Cheshmehzangi, A., & Yuan, C. (2022). Crisis communication strategies for health officials. Frontiers in Public Health. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.796572

Sørensen, K., Pelikan, J. M., Röthlin, F., Ganahl, K., Slonska, Z., Doyle, G., & Brand, H. 2015. Health Literacy in Europe: Comparative Results of the European Health Literacy Survey (HLS-EU). The European Journal of Public Health, 25(6), 1053–1058.

Vitrianingsih, Y. & F. Issalillah. 2021. The National Legal System's Effectiveness in Handling Public Health Crises Responsively and Fairly. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 203 – 208.

Wirz, C. D., Cate, A., Brauer, M., Brossard, D., DiPrete Brown, L., Chen, K., Ho, P. S. K., Luter, D. G., Madden, H., Schoenborn, S., Shaw, B. R., Sprinkel, C., Stanley, D., & Sumi, G. (2022). Science communication during COVID-19: when theory meets practice and best practices meet reality. JCOM, Journal of Science Communication. https://doi.org/10.22323/2.21030801

World Health Organization. 2021. Immunization Agenda 2030: A Global Strategy to Leave No One Behind. https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/immunization-agenda-2030-a-global-strategy-to-leave-no-one-behind.